
ACMS Requirements Review

05-Jan-98

Requirement ID

G	Source 1:	<input type="text"/>	Source 2:	<input type="text" value="<null>"/>
	Source 1 ID:	<input type="text" value="<null>"/>	Source 2 ID:	<input type="text" value="<null>"/>
	Paragraph #:	<input type="text" value="<null>"/>	Paragraph #:	<input type="text" value="<null>"/>
	Note:	<input type="text" value="<null>"/>	Note:	<input type="text" value="<null>"/>

Category:

Requirement Text:

General Requirements

Resolution Text:

The ACMS Performance Specification will not have separate PDM, Tech Loop, and CM attachments. See note below.

COMMENTS:

Major Support Command:

PM EDMS

Reviewer:

Gayle Booker

Comments:

Do away with attachments and combine/reorganize into functional areas governing CM, PDM, Tech Loop, and Vaulting.

Justification Text:

Comment -- Do away with attachments and combine/reorganize into functional areas governing CM, PDM, Tech Loop, and Vaulting.
Note: The Performance Spec will not segment the requirements by CM, PDM, Tech Loop, etc. These distinctions were for the purposes of requirements development. The plan is to combine all the requirements, eliminate duplicates, and present them as a list of functional performance requirements.

ACMS Requirements Review

05-Jan-98

Requirement ID

G-A

Source 1:

Source 2:

Source 1 ID:

Source 2 ID:

Paragraph #:

Paragraph #:

Note:

Note:

Category:

Requirement Text:

General Requirements - Attachment A

Resolution Text:

Justification Text:

N/A. This requirement was added to the database as a place holder.

ACMS Requirements Review

05-Jan-98

Requirement ID

G-B

Source 1:		Source 2:	<null>
Source 1 ID:	<null>	Source 2 ID:	<null>
Paragraph #:	<null>	Paragraph #:	<null>
Note:	<null>	Note:	<null>

Category:

Requirement Text:

General Requirements: Attachment B

Resolution Text:

Comments handled elsewhere.

COMMENTS:

Major Support Command:	Reviewer:
PM EDMS	Gayle Booker

Comments:

COMMENT1: Add requirement P8.2.15 - Category -; Description - ACMS must provide the capability to assign user privileges utilizing roles, groups, and roles within groups; COMMENT2: Add requirement P8.11 - Category - System Performance Monitoring; Description - The ACMS must provide the system administrator the ability to monitor system performance and usage; COMMENT3: What is the status of the Web security issue? This must be discussed as part of P12.

Justification Text:

Added a new requirement (P8.2.15) as suggested by COMMENT1. Added a new requirement (P8.11) as suggested by COMMENT2. Attached COMMENT3 to P12.1, but Army must decide what is to be done about web security issues.

ACMS Requirements Review

05-Jan-98

Requirement ID

G-C

Source 1:		Source 2:	<null>
Source 1 ID:	<null>	Source 2 ID:	<null>
Paragraph #:	<null>	Paragraph #:	<null>
Note:	<null>	Note:	<null>

Category:

Requirement Text:

General Requirements: Attachment C

Resolution Text:

Refer to CM counter proposal prepared by BDM with assistance from an EDMS PMO contractor.

COMMENTS:

<u>Major Support Command:</u>	<u>Reviewer:</u>	<u>Comments:</u>
PM EDMS	Gayle Booker	<i>Attachment C should be deleted and a general statement put in the Performance Specification that the ACMS must be in compliance with MIL-STD-2549.</i>
<u>Major Support Command:</u>	<u>Reviewer:</u>	<u>Comments:</u>
TACOM (Warren)	Patricia Martinez	<i>DELETE C0001 - C0114: implementation not performance requirement</i>

Justification Text:

ACMS Requirements Review

05-Jan-98

Requirement ID

G-D

Source 1:		Source 2:	<null>
Source 1 ID:	<null>	Source 2 ID:	<null>
Paragraph #:	<null>	Paragraph #:	<null>
Note:	<null>	Note:	<null>

Category:

Requirement Text:

General Requirements: Attachment D

Resolution Text:

No resolution.

COMMENTS:

Major Support Command: PM EDMS
Reviewer: Gayle Booker

Comments:
Most of these requirements are MSC driven and cannot be aggregated to the Performance Specification. For this data call, this information is for reference purposes only.

Justification Text:

Guidance is needed from the ACMS Task Force on how to handle the Data Call requirements. At present, each has been reviewed and changed based on comments provided by Booker. Issue: Should the Data Call information be aggregated into ACMS-wide requirements and should they be treated as requirements or reference information.

ACMS Requirements Review

05-Jan-98

Requirement ID

G-E

Source 1:		Source 2:	<null>
Source 1 ID:	<null>	Source 2 ID:	<null>
Paragraph #:	<null>	Paragraph #:	<null>
Note:	<null>	Note:	<null>

Category:

Requirement Text:

General Requirements: Attachment E

Resolution Text:

Refer to CM counter proposal prepared by BDM with assistance from an EDMS PMO contractor.

COMMENTS:

<u>Major Support Command:</u>	<u>Reviewer:</u>	<u>Comments:</u>
PM EDMS	Gayle Booker	<i>In general, most of the requirements in Attachment E will be deleted because they are already covered in MIL-STD-2549 and Attachment B or those requirements not covered above will be stated as a functional "what" statement as opposed to the Attachment E "how" statements. Those "what" statements are being developed and will be provided ASAP.</i>

<u>Major Support Command:</u>	<u>Reviewer:</u>	<u>Comments:</u>
TACOM (Warren)	Patricia Martinez	<i>DELETE J0001 - J0287: implementation not performance requirement</i>

Justification Text: